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Abstract

Aedes-borne diseases (Dengue, Chikungunya, Yellow Fever and Zika) are an emerging problem worldwide, escalating overall risk and burden of disease worldwide. Dengue viruses are members of the family Flaviviridae, genus flavivirus with four anti-genically related but distinct viruses; all are mosquito-borne human pathogens. The four dengue virus serotypes are associated with fever, rash, and the more severe forms, hemorrhagic fever and shock syndrome.

Susceptible humans become infected after being bitten by an infected female Aedes mosquito. Viremia in humans begins at the end of a four-six-day incubation period and persists until fever abates. An uninfected Aedes spp. may acquire the virus after feeding on a patient at the vermeil period. Infected mosquitoes carry the virus for their lifespan and pass the virus to its immature stages (Trans-ovarian transmission) and remain infective for humans, Several factors have combined to produce epidemiological conditions in developing countries in the tropics and subtropics that favor viral transmission by the main mosquito vector, Aedes as the rapid population growth, rural-urban migration, inadequate basic urban infrastructure (eg. the unreliable water supply leading householders to store water in containers close to homes) and the increase in volume of solid waste which provide larval habitats in urban areas. Geographical expansion of the mosquito has been aided by the international commercial trade particularly in used car-tyres which easily accumulate rainwater. Increased air travel and the breakdown of vector control measures have also contributed greatly to the global burden of dengue and DH fevers.
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Introduction

The viral etiology of dengue was established by the 1940s, and records of dengue-like illness date back more than 200 years (Kroeger et al, 2004). Major changes in the epidemiology of dengue virus infections began after World War II and have continued to date. Besides, the major influence of the increased shipping and air-traffic globally, other major factors for the reemergence of dengue fever included the ecological and demographic changes in the tropical zones (Gubler, 2002). This gave current estimation of 50 to 100 million infections worldwide each year and over 2.5 billion individuals at risk for infection, the dengue viruses are now arguably the most important arthropod-borne viruses from a medical and public health perspective (Guzman et al, 2010).

Classification: Dengue viruses are members of the family Flaviviridae, genus flavivirus. The dengue virus complex comprises four antigenically related but distinct viruses, designated dengue virus serotypes 1 through 4. All dengue viruses are mosquito-borne human pathogens that exclusively cause acute infection (Wilder-Smith and Schwartz, 2005).

Transmission: Both epidemic and endemic transmission of dengue viruses are maintained through a human-mosquito-human cycle involving mosquitoes of the genus Aedes (Stegomyia). Transmission of dengue viruses between mosquitoes and nonhuman primates has been demonstrated to occur in Asia and Africa, but there is no evidence that such transmission is an important reservoir for transmission to humans (Wang et al, 2000)

Susceptible humans become infected after being bitten by an infected female Aedes mosquito. The viremia in humans begins towards the end of a four to six-day incubation period and persists until fever abates, which is typically three to seven days. An uninfected Aedes mosquito may acquire the
virus after feeding on the subject during this 
vermeil period. The mosquito has an incubation 
period of 8 to 12 days before it is capable of transmitting the virus to susceptible 
people. Once infected, mosquitoes carry the 
virus for their lifespan and remain infective 
for humans (Vaughn et al., 1997).

Vectors: Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti mos- 
quitoses, the principal vector for the trans-
mission of dengue virus, have many charac-
teristics that make them ideal for dissemina-
tion of the virus (Halstead, 1984). A. ae-
gypti typically breed in or close to houses, 
laying eggs in both man-made and natural 
water containers. The typical flight distance 
is relatively short. Ae. aegypti are daytime 
feeders that prefer to bite humans and are 
frequently unnoticed. They are easily inter-
rupted in their feeding and move on to an-
other host, frequently taking multiple blood 
meals in a single breeding cycle (Harrington 
et al., 2005). Thus, an infected A. aegypti 
may transmit dengue virus to several per-
sons in a small area. For these reasons, family 
members who are at home during the day, 
typically women and young children, are 
thought to be at particularly high risk for 
infection (Scott et al., 2000).

A. aegypti are widely distributed in tropi-
cal and subtropical areas from latitude 45° 
North to 35° South. Efforts to control urban 
yellow fever in the Americas, which is also 
principally transmitted by A. aegypti, had 
greatly restricted the distribution of the 
mosquitoes in the Western hemisphere as of 
1970, but the mosquitoes have since rein-
fested nearly all of their former habitats 
(McBride et al., 1998).

A. albopictus mosquitoes are a competent 
vector for the transmission of dengue virus 
under both experimental and natural condi-
tions but are less likely to do so since they 
do not bite humans as frequently as A. ae-
gypti and more often breed in outdoor water 
containers. A. albopictus also are more toler-
ant of the cold and have a wider geographic 
distribution than A. aegypti (Gratz, 2004). 
However, they appear to be less efficient 
natural vectors for dengue virus; it has been 
rare to find either endemic transmission or 
large outbreaks in regions that have Ae. al-
bopictus but not Ae. aegypti. Both Aedes 
species are also competent vectors for the 
chikungunya virus transmission, which has 
led to simultaneous outbreaks of both dis-
edases in some areas (CDC, 1989). Other Aedes 
species were suspected of dengue virus 
transmission in isolated outbreaks in the Pa-
cific islands. But, these species played an 
insignificant role in the global of dengue vi-
rus transmission (Savage et al., 1998).

Patterns of transmission: Dengue virus trans-
mission follows two general (but not mutu-
al) patterns, with different implica-
tions for disease risk in both the local 
population and travelers.

Epidemic dengue: Epidemic dengue trans-
mission occurs when the introduction of 
dengue virus into a region is an isolated 
event involving a single virus strain. If suffi-
ciently large populations of susceptible hosts 
and mosquitoes are present, transmission of 
dengue is explosive, leading to a recogniz-
able epidemic. The incidence among suscep-
tible persons often reached 25 to 50% and 
could be higher. Herd immunity, changes in 
weather, and mosquito control efforts can all 
contribute to the termination of the epidemic 
(Caron, et al., 2012).

Transmission of dengue viruses prior to 
World War II almost exclusively followed 
this pattern. Seaports frequently were the 
point of initial introduction of dengue viruses, 
and these port cities then acted as distribu-
tion points to nearby inland areas (Gubler, 
1997).

Epidemic activity is currently the predomi-
nant pattern of dengue virus transmission in 
smaller island nations, certain areas of South 
America and Africa, and in the areas of Asia 
where dengue virus transmission has recent-
ly reemerged. The incidence of dengue virus 
infections in these locations varies consider-
ably from year to year. Intervals of several 
years or more usually pass between epidem-
ics, allowing the number of susceptible indi-
vials to accumulate so that the next epidemic was perpetuated (Alvarez et al, 2006).

The implications of a predominantly epidemic pattern of dengue transmission are: 1- The risk for acquisition of dengue virus for travelers is high during an epidemic but low at other times. However, outbreaks may be relatively difficult to predict. 2- Both adults and children are affected in the local population. 3- The frequency of dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) has usually been low during epidemics, with some significant exceptions. The viral serotype and strain and the interval since the previous epidemic seem to influence the risk for this manifestation of infection (Kouri et al, 1989).

Hyperendemic dengue: Hyperendemic transmission refers to the continuous circulation of multiple dengue virus serotypes in the same area. This requires the year-round presence of competent vector mosquitoes and either a large population base or steady movement of individuals into the area to maintain a pool of susceptible individuals. Hyperendemic circulation involves the occurrence of multiple epidemics in a smaller geographic scale e.g., village or school (Endy et al, 2002).

Seasonal variation in virus transmission is common. The incidence of infection also varies from year to year, with increased dengue transmission at intervals of three to four years, but this variation is not as dramatic as in areas where transmission predominantly follows the epidemic pattern. A recent mathematical analysis of data from Thailand suggested that these surges in dengue transmission originate in waves from major urban centers (Cummings et al, 2004). Areas with hyperendemic dengue virus transmission contribute the vast majority of cases of dengue virus infection globally. In some regions, 5 & 10% of the susceptible population experiences dengue virus infection annually (Porter et al, 2005). Urban areas are particularly affected.

The implications of hyperendemic transmission of dengue virus are: 1- The overall risk for acquisition of dengue virus is higher for travelers than in areas that experience only epidemic transmission, but the seasonal variation in risk is somewhat predictable. 2- The prevalence of antibody against dengue virus rises with age for the local population, and most adults are immune to infection. 3- While children are more likely than adults to experience mild disease, hyperendemicity appears to be a major factor contributing to the occurrence of DHF. Dengue hemorrhagic fever is distinguished from dengue by the presence of increased vascular permeability, not by the hemorrhage (WHO, 1997). Patients with dengue may have severe hemorrhage without meeting WHO criteria for dengue hemorrhagic fever. Pathogenesis in these cases was derived from thrombocytopenia or a consumptive coagulopathy and not from the vascular leak syndrome of dengue hemorrhagic fever (Krishnamurti et al, 2001). Dengue hemorrhagic fever may of grades III & IV or not grades I & II include clinical shock, referred to as dengue shock syndrome. Dengue virus antigen was found in a variety of tissues, predominately liver and reticuloendothelial system (McBride and Bielefeldt-Ohmann, 2000). Viral replication occurs primarily in the macrophages, although dendritic cells (Langerhans cells) in the skin may be an early target of infection (Wu et al, 2000). As in yellow fever, focal central necrosis was found in the dead dengue patients’ liver (Innis, 1995). Autopsies of patients who died of dengue haemorrhagic fever showed diffuse petechial haemorrhages in most organs and serous effusions of pericardial, peritoneal, and pleural spaces (Lum et al, 1996). Dengue virus (by isolation and RT-PCR) and IgM antibody were identified in cerebrospinal fluid, but the direct involvement of dengue virus in neuronal damage was controversial (Solomon et al, 2000). In the children, dengue fever gave atypical presentation like the splenomegaly, encephalopathy, bleeding manifestations and upper respiratory tract infection (Arshad et al, 2015).
All four serotypes were associated with dengue hemorrhagic fever. Variations in the virus strains within and between the four serotypes may influence disease severity. Secondary infections (particularly serotype 2) are more likely to result in severe disease and dengue hemorrhagic fever (Thein et al., 1997). Theoretically, the antibody dependent enhancement, whereby cross reactive but non-neutralizing antibodies from a previous infection bound to the new serotype infection and facilitated virus entrance into cells causing higher peak viral titres (Sullivan, 2001). In primary and secondary infections, higher viral titres were associated with more severe disease (Vaughn et al., 2000). Higher titres might result in an amplified cascade of cytokines and complement activation causing endothelial dysfunction, platelet destruction (Lei et al., 2001), and consumption of coagulation factors, which result in plasma leakage and haemorrhagic manifestations (Kurane and Takasaki, 2001).

Increased vector density: In many tropical countries, seasonal increases in rainfall contribute to an increased density of mosquitoes. One factor that can be modified is the presence of open water storage containers in or near the home.

Shorter incubation period in the mosquito: The length of the incubation time in the mosquito, known as the extrinsic incubation period, is inversely associated with the ambient temperature. Warmer temperatures therefore increase the length of time that a mosquito remains infective.

Increased movement of mosquito vectors and viruses: Air, land, and water transportation of mosquitoes or vermeil humans facilitate the dissemination of dengue viruses.

Increased density of susceptible human hosts: Crowded conditions probably increase the potential for virus transmission. However, as the prevalence of prior infection increases, the fraction of the population that remains susceptible is reduced.

Increased duration and magnitude of viremia in humans: Attenuated virus strains produced in the laboratory have been shown to produce low titers of virus in the blood, which are then not efficiently transmitted to mosquitoes (Schoepp et al., 1991). It is unclear whether natural strains of dengue virus can differ in the viremia titers they produce (Gubler et al., 1981).

Other routes of transmission: Given the high titers of infectious dengue virus found in blood and tissues during acute infection, it is not surprising that the potential exists for virus transmission by routes other than mosquito vectors:

Nosocomial transmission: At least four well-documented cases of transmission of dengue virus in the health care setting via needle-stick and one case of transmission by non-percutaneous exposure were reported (Chen and Wilson, 2004). Some blood donors, although asymptomatic, have viremia levels sufficient to transmit infection to recipients of the blood components. Nosocomial transmission cases in dengue-endemic regions (also likely to encompass majority of exposures) would usually be overlooked due to the inability to distinguish nosocomial transmission from mosquito transmission (Stramer et al., 2012). Needle-stitch injury must be considered (Abdel Motagal y et al., 2017).

Vertical transmission: Vertical transmission of dengue has been reported in a few small case series (Siririnvin et al., 2004), based on these cases and the known pattern of viremia, this possibility should be considered in cases where illness in the mother occurs within the 10 days before delivery (including onset on the delivery day). Illness presented in these newborns up to 11 days (median 4 days) after birth. Although data are limited, pregnancy does not appear to increase the incidence or severity of dengue (Carroll et al., 2007). In a prospective study conducted in Kuala Lumpur of 2958 parturient, 2531 paired maternal-umbilical cord blood samples were tested for dengue-specific IgM to determine the prevalence of infection and the vertical transmission rate. Sixty-three women (2.5%) had a positive IgM se-
rology. Only one (1.6%, 95% CI 0-9.5%) of the paired umbilical cord samples was seropositive for dengue. None of the maternal and fetal blood samples had evidence of viral RNA by PCR (Tan et al, 2008).

Factors contributing to the reemergence of dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever: The worldwide incidence of dengue and DHF has been increasing in the past several decades, and the geographic distribution of these diseases has expanded. The emergence of DHF as a public health problem has largely been a result of human behaviors including: 1- Population growth. 2- Poorly planned urbanization, associated with overcrowding, poor water distribution, and poor sanitation. 3- Changing lifestyles, such as increased reliance on plastic containers and tires; standing water can easily collect within. 4- Modern transportation, with increased movement of viruses, mosquitoes, and susceptible humans. 5- Lack of effective mosquito control
The potential effects of global climate change would be a major source for future concern. Increased dengue virus transmission was associated with El Nino/Southern Oscillation events (Hales et al, 1996). Mathematical models predict that increased global temperatures would further expand A. aegypti and dengue virus range (Hales et al, 2002). Distribution of A. aegypti and reported dengue activity: Currently A. aegypti and dengue viruses are endemic in every continent except Europe and Antarctica, although epidemic DHF occurs predominantly in Asia and the Americas. The risk for exposure to A. aegypti was often higher in urban areas. Many tourist facilities present a lower risk than local residential areas because of air conditioning, ground-skipping, elevation, or combinations of these factors (Pinheiro and Corber, 1997).
The number of cases of dengue fever (DF) and DHF reported globally varied considerably from year to year, although the overall trend is one of increasing incidence. Global epidemics of disease have occurred at intervals of several years, most recently from 2001 to 2002. Several regions have reported increased dengue disease activity from 2007 through 2009. The reported dengue activity in specific regions is described further below. Most available information was gathered through passive surveillance activities and must be interpreted cautiously in light of underreporting of cases and lack of laboratory confirmation (WHO, 2012).

Generally, clinically diagnosed DF was widespread during the 19th and 19th centuries in North and South America, the Caribbean Basin, Asia, and Australia. In the Americas, this was largely due to the repeated introduction from Africa of A. aegypti (Reinert et al, 2008).

In Europe: In mainland France, where Aedes albopictus was present and disseminating since 2004, 2 episodes of autochthonous transmission occurred in 2010 and in 2013 during its activity period from May 1 to November 30 (Paty, 2014).

Southeast Asia and Western Pacific regions: Southeast Asia: A. aegypti are present throughout the region, extending to southern China and the south of the island of Taiwan, and all countries in the region are affected by dengue virus infections. Hyperendemic transmission of all four dengue serotypes, with cases of DHF, was present in Thailand, Vietnam, and Indonesia for over 40 years. Epidemic dengue reemerged in China during the 1980s & the 1990s after absence of several decades; associated with the first DHF occurrence in that country (Qiu et al, 1993) Nepal, the last country in the region to be affected, had the first cases detected in 2004. Dengue virus transmission occurred year-round, but typically reaches a seasonal peak which varies in timing from one country to another (for example, between June & November in Thailand, but between January & February in Indonesia). More than 200,000 cases of DHF were reported from the region annually from 2007 through 2009; Indonesia accounted for the majority of cases in each of these years.

South Asia: A. aegypti are present in most
of the region. Hyperendemic transmission of all four dengue serotypes is present in Malaysia and the Philippines. Other islands experience frequent dengue outbreaks; high rates were reported from 14 island nations for 2009, including American Samoa, Cook Islands, French Polynesia, New Caledonia, and Tonga (Weaver and Reisen, 2010).

Western Pacific islands: A. aegypti are present in most of the region. Hyperendemic transmission of all four dengue serotypes is present in Malaysia and the Philippines. Other islands experience frequent dengue outbreaks; in the first half of 2009, over 1000 cases were reported from French Polynesia.

Australia: Ae. aegypti are present in the northeastern corner of Australia. Dengue viruses are not endemic to the continent, but periodic introduction of dengue viruses from neighboring islands led to epidemics in urban areas of north Queensland during the 1990s and 2000s (WHO, 1998).

African and Eastern Mediterranean regions: A. aegypti are present in much of sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East. Data are scant on dengue virus transmission. But, documented infections in visitors to the area indicated the ongoing dengue virus transmission (Sharp et al, 1995). Several outbreaks were reported from central and east Africa and the Middle East during the 1990s and the 2000s (Eisenhut et al, 1999).

Region of the Americas: In North America Ae. aegypti are present in most areas of Mexico and in the southeastern United States. Ae. albopictus is also present in these areas, but its range extends further north, nearly to the Great Lakes. Hyperendemic transmission of all four dengue virus serotypes is present throughout the range of Ae. aegypti in Mexico. Dengue virus transmission is seasonal, with peak activity in late summer and fall. In 2011, over 67,000 cases of dengue infection were reported from Mexico, including 4000 cases of severe dengue and 36 deaths (Valerio et al, 2012).

Most dengue virus infections identified in the continental United States and all cases identified in Canada were acquired during travel abroad or to Puerto Rico or the United States Virgin Islands (CDC, 2013). Limited transmission of dengue virus (3 to 30 cases) within southern Texas was documented in 1980, 1986, 1995, 1997, & 1998 (CDC, 1998) and an outbreak involving over 120 cases of locally acquired dengue infection occurred in Hawaii in 2001 (Effler et al, 2005). However, the CDC (2007) reported a case of DHF in a resident native to Texas who lived in a bordering area with Mexico. This prompted a serosurvey of 346 households in the immediate neighborhood, which demonstrated that 38 percent of the residents had IgG antibodies to dengue. Dengue fever was also reported in 28 residents of Key West, Florida who had not traveled abroad (CDC, 2010). A subsequent serosurvey of 240 participants living in Key West found that 5% had evidence of recent dengue infection. Local transmission of dengue virus was detected in south Florida, but still appears to be very limited in scope.

Central America: A. aegypti and hyperendemic transmission of all four dengue virus serotypes are present throughout the region. The region experienced a major outbreak in 2010; Honduras and Costa Rica reported among the highest numbers of cases of dengue (67,000 & 31,000, respectively) and incidence rates (1016 & 979 cases/100,000 populations) that year. However, all of these countries have had one or more years of heavy dengue activity during the past five years (CDC, 2005).

Caribbean: Ae. aegypti is present throughout the region. Hyperendemic circulation of dengue virus serotypes 1, 2, & 4 has been found on the larger islands (other than Cuba) for several decades and dengue virus serotype 3 has been present since 1998. In Puerto Rico, peak dengue virus transmission usually occurs between October and December; over 21,000 cases of dengue virus infection were reported there in 2010. The Dominican Republic (11,000 cases), French
Guiana (4000 cases), Guadeloupe (41,000 cases), Martinique (37,000 cases), and St. Martin (2400 cases) all reported major outbreaks in 2010. Other islands experienced periodic dengue epidemics (CDC, 2005).

South America: A. aegypti is present in every South American country except Chile. Hyper-endemic circulation of all four dengue virus serotypes has been present in the north of the continent since the reintroduction of dengue virus serotype 3 was detected in Brazil and Venezuela during 2000. Brazil, Venezuela, and Colombia have reported the largest number of dengue cases. Low-level, year-round transmission has been observed, but most cases follow an epidemic pattern; in Brazil, peak dengue transmission occurred between February and May (Siqueira et al, 2005).

Brazil experienced a major outbreak in 2010, concentrated in the state of Rio de Janeiro, and with over 1,000,000 cases nationwide, including over 16,000 cases of severe dengue. Colombia (150,000 cases) and Venezuela (120,000 cases) also reported major dengue outbreaks in 2010. Comparison of disease incidence in Asia and Latin America showed a distinct difference in age distribution of DF and DHF. In Asia, hospitalizations principally involve children, whereas in the Americas, they tend to involve a greater proportion of adults (Halstead, 2006). The reasons for this apparent difference were not adequately defined. However, an epidemic in the State of Rio de Janeiro revealed that the incidence of DHF in children was significantly higher than in previous epidemics in Brazil (Cavalcanti et al, 2011).

Diagnosis: diagnosis of dengue fever is based on the detection of NS1 Ag, antibodies (IgM & IgG) or reverse transcription (RT)-PCR (virus isolation is used less often). For early diagnosis (onset < 5 days), detection of NS1 Ag might be used, but its moderate sensitivity required the presence of both NS1 Ag and IgM to ensure diagnosis (Chaterji et al, 2011). IgM were positive 4 to 5 days after disease onset for up to 3 to 6 months. IgG appeared approximately 7-10 days after onset and were detectable thereafter for life. RT-PCR detection of viral RNA proved a very reliable technique for patients presenting within 5 to 7 days of the onset of symptoms, but this method is more expensive, non-standardized, and only a few centers in France use it routinely (Osorio et al, 2010). Consequently, serological tests are commonly used to establish or confirm a diagnosis of dengue. False-positive results include cross-reactive flavivirus-specific antibodies, nonspecific binding of antibodies secreted in the course of various infections such as mononucleosis or hepatitis, and rheumatoid factor. Wichmann et al. (2006) diagnosed 127 dengue fever cases by single positive rapid test. Each case was further investigated by several IgM- & IgG-ELISAs, immunofluorescence assays, and RT-RT/PCR assays, leukopenia gave 42.5% false-positives and thrombocytopenia gave 6.1% false-positives. So, positive rapid test results must be confirmed by laboratory-based ELISA or virus PCR detection for a dengue fever reliable diagnosis (Dechamps et al, 2009). Travellers might be infected with dengue virus because transmission is maintained even between epidemics; malaria must be ruled out in those returning from an endemic area with symptoms (Magill, 1998). In Australia and Germany up to 8% of travellers returning with febrile illnesses were found to have dengue (O’Brien et al, 2001). Because the incubation period can vary from 3 to 14 days; typically between 5 & 7 days and viraemia could persist up to 12 days; typically 4 to 5 days (Rigau-Perez et al, 1998), dengue can be ruled out if symptoms begin more than 2 to 3 weeks after the patient has left an endemic area or if the fever lasts more than two weeks. But, dengue hemorrhagic fever and dengue shock syndrome are rare in travellers; but those with a history of dengue must be advised to protect themselves well from mosquitoes when in endemic areas (Allwinn et al, 2008). Pancer et
al. (2014) reported that dengue proved to be a serious condition with the presence of IgG antibodies directed against antigens of particular serotypes, associated with primary infection caused by different serotype or transferred from infected mother to her child. For adequate dengue laboratory diagnosis, a set of various diagnostic methods must be done. Within the family Flaviviridae, cross-reactivity may lead to occurrence of false-positive results. In Poland, differential diagnosis with different flavivirus species is of special importance as it is an endemic area for tick-borne encephalitis (TBE). Thus, data regarding history of patient’s immunization against TBE or yellow fever should be also taken into consideration as important in interpretation of results of serological examination. But, without specific symptoms, febrile illness diagnosis in returning travelers was challenging. Pull et al. (2012) reported that cosmopolitan infections such as measles must be considered, even more as its incidence was increasing in several parts of the world. Kutsuna et al. (2015) reported that clinical manifestations, simple laboratory test results, and regions of travel are helpful to distinguish between dengue, malaria, and enteric fever in febrile returning travelers with non-specific symptoms.

In the Middle East and North Africa: Ae. aegypti originated in Africa where its ancestral form was a zoophilic treehole mosquito named Ae. aegypti formosus (Brown et al., 2014). Many countries in the EMR (which includes Afghanistan, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Iran, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Pakistan, Palestine, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Somalia, Sudan, Syria, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates and Yemen) are particularly vulnerable to communicable disease epidemics, because they are experiencing numerous environmental and social stresses, including armed conflicts, water scarcity, food insecurity, rapid population growth and urbanization (Kraemer et al., 2015). But, the host availability was the most important predictor in case of Ae. albopictus.

Dengue fever has a historic presence in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA), with outbreaks of dengue and dengue-like disease reported across much of the Eastern Mediterranean region in the 19th and early 20th centuries (Garabedian et al., 1971).

Nowadays, dengue may be resurging in the MENA (WHO, 2014) with outbreaks of unprecedented or previously unrecognized magnitude occurring in Pakistan (Rai, 2011) and the Arabian Peninsula (Arya and Agarwal, 2014) and an outbreak in Egypt that occurred following a decades-long absence of reported cases from that country (WHO, 2015). Still, despite increasing global concern about the threat of Aedes-transmitted arboviruses, the epidemiology of dengue in the MENA region was largely uncharacterized (Humphrey et al., 2016).

Ducheyne et al. (2018) stated that in the Middle East and North Africa, the epidemiology of dengue remains poorly characterized despite increasing reports of outbreaks and transmission in new areas. They added that there was wide spread distribution and the abundance of Ae. aegypti and the reported cases of dengue, chikungunya and yellow fever. Tran Minh et al. (2016) assumed that the potential risk of disease outbreaks was high in at least eight of the EMR countries: Djibouti, Egypt, Oman, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Somalia, Sudan and Yemen. The invasive vector Ae. albopictus spread in some countries, such as Lebanon (2012) and Morocco (2016) but the available occurrence database was not updated. They conducted that a systematic review of studies reporting human prevalence, incidence, and infection exposures in the virus’ main mosquito vectors, Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus. They identified, the Red Sea sub-region and Pakistan reported the highest seroprevalence estimates for dengue fever.

In Egypt, Kirkpatrick (1925) reported Ae. aegypti. Gad (1963) identified Ae. aegypti, Ae. caspius and Ae. detritus. Holstein (1967) reported complete eradication of Ae. aegypti from Egypt. Mikhail et al. (2009) reported...
*Ae. caspius* and *Ae. detritus*.

Burdino *et al.* (2011) in North West Italy identified two imported cases of DENV infections from South Egypt in patients traveling together, confirming the importance of returning travelers as sentinels of a rapidly changing epidemiology in specific geographic areas. They concluded there must a careful evaluation and follow-up of febrile patients returning from Dengue endemic countries. Heikal *et al.* (2011) reported the re-emergence in Aswan and approach of *Aedes aegypti*, the vector of the Dengue hemorrhagic fever, Yellow fever and Chikungunya fever, which are encountered in Africa, needs to alert for this public health threat. The presence of dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever in Saudi Arabia is another issue. El-Bahnasawy *et al.* (2011) dengue (DF) and dengue hemorrhagic fevers (DHF) are present in urban and suburban areas in the Americas, South-East Asia, the Eastern Mediterranean and the Western Pacific, but dengue fever is present mainly in the rural areas of Africa. They concluded that the presence of *Ae. aegypti* and endemicity of DF & DHF in the neighboring regional countries must be in mind of the public health authorities.

Saleh (2012) reported immature and matures stages of the tiger mosquito, *Ae. aegypti* in surveying Aswan Governorate. Shoukry *et al.* (2012) reported the re-emergence *Ae. aegypti* in the Egyptian southern border (Aswan Governorate) and added that now in Toshka District is an integration mark.

WHO (2015) in October reported a dengue outbreak with at least 253 cases was reported from Assiut Governorate. Abozeid *et al.* (2018) reported the first detection of *Ae. aegypti* from an ongoing dengue outbreak in Red Sea Governorate, with more than 680 cases to date. They sampled Hurghada and Al-Quseir and found Cx. *pipiens*, and *Ae. aegypti*. They suggested that *Ae. aegypti* might originate from Saudi Arabia, having crossed the Red Sea on ships, or from Sudan via ground traffic, and the urgent surveillance of *Ae. aegypti* and other vector species, such as *Ae. albopectus*, which is currently spreading worldwide.

Prevention: Prevention of dengue as other insect-borne infectious diseases must focus on the vector. *Ae. aegypti* is difficult to control owing to its intimacy with man. The following were some selected control measures.

Rodríguez *et al.* (2002) in Cuba found that pyrethroid resistance was associated with a glutathione-S-transferase mechanism but not esterases. The cross-resistance to deltamethrin from temephos selection could limit the use of both insecticides for vector control. Shaaalan *et al.* (2005) in Egypt studied sublethal concentrations of fenitrothion, lambdacyhalothrin & *Callitris glauca* (Cupressaceae) extract to characterize their effects on the *Ae. aegypti* development. They recommended the botanical for field application either in combination with synthetic or natural insecticides or alone. El-Hela *et al.* (2013) in Egypt conducted targeting estimation of the relative levels of total phenolic content, antioxidant potential and larvicidal activity of 110 selected medicinal plants. They concluded that their polyphenols constitute a distinct group of natural compounds with wide range of physiological activities as antioxidant, immunestimulant, antitumor and antiparasitic. Coelho (2012) in Brazil reported that the vector control activities recommended by both the National Program for Dengue Control and programs from other countries was the home visit to carry out the inspection of deposits placed in and outdoors, *Ae. aegypti* potential breeding sites. Gamal (2012) in Saudi Arabia used *Gambusia holbrooki* versus *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis* (B.t.i.) to control *Ae. aegypti* larvae in domestic co-ntainers. The infestation rate was significantly higher (P < 0.001) in the B.t.i group. In deposits where the fish remained, efficacy was 85% better than B.t.i. He concluded that the permanence of fish was higher in concrete tanks (48.5%) located outside the house (47.5%) and at ground level (53.3%). Jacups *et al.* (2013) in Australia studied the efficacy and longevity
of trfluurumon Starycide® (I.G.R.) under field conditions in tropical against *Aedes* in doses (0.48 & 0.96 ppm), applied to water receptacles with delayed flooding by zero, two, and four weeks. They found that trfluurumon gave excellent efficacy and longevity in artificial containers. If done under standard conditions, reappllication was not needed for up to 22 weeks. Mackay *et al.* (2013) in Puerto Rico developed an autocidal gravid ovitrapp (AGO) as a simple, low-cost device for surveillance and control of *Ae. aegypti* without the use of pesticides that does not require servicing for an extended period of time. They concluded that the AGO-B could be used to efficiently attract and capture gravid *Ae. aegypti* females for more than 8 weeks without the need for trap maintenance. Winskill *et al.* (2014) in the United Kingdom reported that release of male *Ae. aegypti* carrying a dominant lethal (RIDL) could be employed to control. To maximize the RIDL potential control, optimum release strategies for trans-genic mosquitoes were needed. They concluded that maximizing the public health benefits of RIDL-based vector control would involve optimizing all stages of the control program. They added that to achieve long-term suppression of a wild population combined pesticides of adults and pupae were recommended. Quimbayo *et al.* (2014) in Spain evaluated 18 different ovitraps of combined insecticides (deltamethrin and permethrin), oviposition substrates (towel, dacron and flannel), and attractant infusion (10 & 20% hay infusion, and dechlorinated water). They found the ovitrap combining deltamethrin, towel as oviposition substrate, and 10% hay infusion as attractant was the most efficient control. Afify *et al.* (2014) in Germany used a modified Y-tube olfactometer to test the effect of some chemical compounds on *Ae. aegypti* flying towards skin odor (human fingers), and their effect on oviposition choice in a cage assay. They found that EA & DEET are good repellent, but MDA is repellent only in a host-seeking context, and BA is deterrent only in an oviposition context.

Nagpal *et al.* (2016) in India hypothesized that whether continuous entomological surveillance and simultaneous appropriate interventions in key containers during non-transmission months would have any impact on breeding of *Aedes* and dengue cases during the transmission months. The surveillance and intervention measured undertaken during non-transmission months assessed by entomological indicators namely container index (CI), house index (HI), pupal index (PI) and breteau index (BI). They concluded that proper intervention in non-transmission season reduced vector density and subsequently dengue cases in transmission season.

**Conclusion**

*Aedes aegypti* are daytime feeders that frequently unnoticed bites man. They are characterized by interrupted feeding and move on to another host, frequently taking multiple blood meals in a single breeding cycle. Thus, it can transmit dengue virus to several persons within a small geographic area.

Epidemic dengue transmission occurs by introduction of dengue virus into a region as an isolated event involving a single virus strain. If sufficiently large persons of susceptible hosts and vector are present, transmission is explosive, leading to a recognizable epidemic. The herd immunity, changes in weather, and mosquito control efforts can all contribute to the epidemic termination. Dengue virus transmission is enhanced by multiple factors, as higher vector density, its greater movement, and increased density of susceptible humans.

Hyperendemic transmission is the continuous circulation of multiple dengue virus serotypes in the same area. This requires the year-round presence of competent vector & an ongoing presence of susceptible individuals. Reinfection with dengue different type may lead to a serious, life-threatening condition. Half of patients (mainly children) developed symptoms of dengue fever, dengue haemorrhagic fever or dengue shock syndrome. Fatality is high in severe dengue. Unless
Aedes vectors can be effectively controlled or a cost effective vaccine developed, dengue can be expected to continue to escalate.
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